1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 6 PLANNING BOARD REORGANIZATION FOR 2025 7 8 - - - - - - X 9 10 BOARD BUSINESS 11 Date: January 16, 2025 12 Time: 7:00 p.m. Town of Newburgh Place: 13 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 14 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 21 JAMES CAMPBELL 22 23 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Planning Board Reorganization 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening, 3 ladies and gentlemen. The Town of 4 Newburgh Planning Board would like to 5 welcome you to their meeting of January 16, 2025. We have nine items 6 7 on the agenda this evening. 8 We'll start the meeting off with a roll call vote. 9 10 MR. DOMINICK: Present. 11 MS. DeLUCA: Present. 12 MR. MENNERICH: Present. 13 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present. 14 MR. WARD: Present. 15 MR. CORDISCO: Dominic Cordisco, 16 Planning Board Attorney. 17 MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero, 18 Stenographer. 19 MR. HINES: Pat Hines with MHE 20 Engineering. 21 MR. CAMPBELL: Jim Campbell, Town 22 of Newburgh Code Compliance. 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point 24 we'll turn the meeting over to John Ward. 25 MR. WARD: Please stand to say the

1 Planning Board Reorganization 2 Pledge. 3 (Pledge of Allegiance.) 4 MR. WARD: Please turn off your 5 phones or put them on vibrate. Thank 6 you. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time 8 we'll turn the meeting over to Ken Mennerich. 9 10 MR. MENNERICH: At this time, the beginning of 2025, we have the Planning 11 12 Board reorganization meeting. It states 13 the reappointments for the Town of 14 Newburgh Planning Board. 15 The following are the people that 16 are being reappointed: Kenneth 17 Mennerich, Vice Chairman of the Planning Board; Dominic Cordisco, Attorney to the 18 19 Planning Board; MHE, Patrick Hines, 20 Engineer and Planners to the Planning 21 Board; Karen Arent, RLA, Landscape 22 Architect to the Planning Board; Ken 23 Wersted, PE, Traffic Engineer to the 24 Planning Board; Douglas Fishman, PE, 25 Telecommunications Consultant; and

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	Michelle Conero, Stenographer to the
3	Planning Board.
4	The Planning Board Members have all
5	of the information on the people that
6	have requested these reappointments.
7	At this time I'd like to have a
8	motion for accepting the nominees.
9	MR. DOMINICK: I'll make a motion.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have a
12	motion by Dave Dominick and a second by
13	Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call
14	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
15	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
16	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
17	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
19	MR. WARD: Aye.
20	MR. MENNERICH: Thank you.
21	The next item is the Planning Board
22	meeting schedule, the dates for all of
23	our meetings for this year. We also have
24	the consultants' work session meeting
25	dates for this year.

1 Planning Board Reorganization 2 I'd like a motion to accept that. 3 So moved. MR. WARD: CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll second the 4 5 motion. MR. MENNERICH: John Ward and a 6 7 second by John Ewasutyn. 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can I have a 9 roll call vote starting with Dave 10 Dominick. 11 MR. DOMINICK: One question, John. 12 June 19th, do we want to mention that is 13 not a meeting? CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 14 Since we don't 15 know what that date is, I think what 16 we're saying is we didn't realize when we 17 put the agenda together that June 19th is 18 a holiday. At some point in time, when 19 we can find an alternate date, we will 20 have that meeting on that date. It will 21 be important, actually, to the public as 22 well as the Planning Board, because after 23 June 19th, the next date would be July 4th, 24 in which case there wouldn't be a meeting. 25 We're hoping to find this hall available

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	sometime in June prior to the 19th so
3	that we can provide the service to
4	applicants to have two meetings in the
5	month of June.
6	Thank you, Dave.
7	Right now it's unknown until we
8	find out the availability of this hall.
9	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
10	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
11	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
13	MR. WARD: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
15	
16	(Time noted: 7:04 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Planning Board Reorganization
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		
2		YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE WBURGH PLANNING BOARD
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5		E ENCLAVE 2022-25)
6		
7		300 & Gardnertown Road ck 1; Lots 46, 52.12 & 53.5 R-3 Zone
8		
9		X
10		ENT OF RECEIPT OF DEIS Y SITE PLAN - 246 UNITS
11	MOLII FAMIL	I SIIL FLAN 240 UNIIS
12		Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 7:04 p.m.
13		Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
14		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550
15		Newburgh, NI 12000
16	BOARD MEMBERS:	JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman KENNETH MENNERICH
17		STEPHANIE DeLUCA
18		DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES
20		JAMES CAMPBELL
21		SENTATIVE: REUBEN BUCK
22	AFFLICANI 5 KEFKE	SENTATIVE. REOBEN BOCK
23		X Chelle L. Conero
24	Со	ourt Reporter
25		845-541-4163 leconero@hotmail.com

1 The Enclave

2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The first
3	item of business this evening is The
4	Enclave, project number 22-25. It's
5	to acknowledge receipt of the DEIS for
6	a multi-family site plan consisting of
7	246 units. It's in an R-3 Zone. It's
8	being represented by Engineering &
9	Surveying Properties. Thank you.
10	MR. BUCK: Good evening. Reuben
11	Buck, Engineering Properties, here on
12	behalf of the applicant, Diversified
13	Properties, LLC.
14	As the Chairman mentioned, we're
15	here tonight for the confirmation of
16	receipt of the draft environmental impact
17	statement for the project located off of
18	Route 300, 246 residential units.
19	The DEIS was submitted on
20	December 23, 2024. We're just here
21	tonight to take the next steps in the
22	SEQRA process and have the Board
23	confirm receipt of the document and
24	begin their review. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,

1 The Enclave

2

3

Planning Board Attorney, would you advise the Board.

4 So this is the MR. CORDISCO: Yes. 5 submission of a proposed draft environmental 6 impact statement. The Board's responsibility 7 at this stage of the process is to review 8 this document as it relates to the adopted scope for the project. You're looking to 9 10 make sure that the applicant has addressed 11 or touched on all things that are included 12 in the scope. There will be a substantive review that occurs later on in the 13 14 process that will also obviously include 15 a public hearing.

16 SEQRA provides that there's 45 days 17 for the lead agency to provide comments 18 to the applicant as a result of the 19 submission. The Board is acknowledging 20 receipt tonight of the document, and so 21 that would start the 45-day process. 22 The Board would like to place this on the agenda for their meeting in the 23 24 beginning of March, which would be the 25 March 6th meeting. That's just a little

1 The Enclave

2	bit outside the 45 days from today's
3	meeting, but if that's acceptable to
4	the applicant, then that's what we'll
5	plan on doing.
6	MR. BUCK: That is acceptable to
7	the applicant.
8	MR. CORDISCO: You don't have to
9	make another submission. The Board will
10	place you on that agenda. We'll provide
11	you with their comments as well as the
12	consultants' comments.
13	MR. BUCK: Thank you.
14	
15	(Time noted: 7:07 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	The Enclave
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 LANDS OF LL'S PRESTIGE HOMES, LLC 6 (2024 - 30)7 Charles Street & Elmhurst Avenue Section 53; Block 2; Lots 10 & 11 8 R-1 Zone 9 - - - - - - X 10 LOT LINE CHANGE 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:07 p.m. 13 Town of Newburgh Place: Town Hall 14 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: KENNETH LYTLE 22 23 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC 2 Item number 2, CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 3 the Lands of LL's Prestige Homes. It's a lot line change. It's project number 4 5 24-30. It's located on the corner of Charles Street and Elmhurst Avenue in an 6 7 R-1 Zone. Zen Consultants is the 8 applicant's representative. 9 MR. LYTLE: Good evening. Since 10 our last meeting we were sent off to the Zoning Board, the ZBA, for approval of 11 12 the variances we needed, both for lot 13 area and lot width. We received those 14 and it's noted on the plans. 15 Pat had a couple of comments. I 16 believe we addressed all of those, except 17 he wanted to modify the notes. We put 18 notes on there regarding the planting and 19 some more regarding the trees and the 20 bats. 21 That's pretty much what we have. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines with 23 MH&E. 24 MR. HINES: As was mentioned, the 25 project was at the Zoning Board of

1 Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC

Appeals and received approval for the lot area, lot 10 being 18,167 and lot 11 being 20,045 where 40,000 is required. They also granted a width variance of 126 feet where 150 feet is required.

7 There's an existing structure on 8 the site which will end up being on lot 1. That structure is proposed to be removed 9 10 as it currently spans the property line 11 and doesn't meet any setback requirements. 12 We're suggesting that the structure be 13 removed prior to stamping of the plans 14 as the Town would have no other way of 15 enforcing the removal of the structure upon filing of the map. I'll defer to 16 17 Dominic on that language.

We did receive a sign-off letter
from the highway superintendent
regarding the driveways.

21 We're requesting that the 22 separation distance for the septic 23 laterals on tax lot 11 be shown 24 depicting the 10-foot minimum required 25 from the property line. I scaled it

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	and it's fairly close. I just want to
3	make sure that it's actually more than
4	10 feet from the property line.
5	Compliance with the Tree
6	Preservation Ordinance has been
7	documented. The trees on the site have
8	been identified, and those to be removed
9	are also identified.
10	The erosion and sediment control
11	references hay bales as well as the
12	detail. Silt fence should be utilized
13	as hay bales are not an acceptable
14	practice.
15	The bat habitat, there was a
16	response that you had placed a note on
17	the map. I couldn't find that note.
18	MR. LYTLE: We'll adjust it.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
20	Code Compliance.
21	MR. CAMPBELL: I have nothing
22	additional to add.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
24	Planning Board Members.
25	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.

1 Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC 2 It's been covered. 3 MS. DeLUCA: Nothing. 4 MR. MENNERICH: No. 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment. 6 MR. WARD: Nothing. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco, 8 Planning Board Attorney, can you give us 9 conditions of approval for the lot line 10 change. 11 MR. CORDISCO: Of course. Just to 12 note for the record that this is a Type 2 action under SEQRA, so no negative 13 declaration needs to be considered. 14 15 As Pat had mentioned, the variances 16 that were required have been granted for 17 the project. 18 The conditions would include 19 compliance with any conditions with the 20 decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals, 21 modifications to the plan to address Pat 22 Hines' comments, as well as continued 23 compliance with the Tree Preservation 24 Law. 25 As Pat suggested, the Board should

1 Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC 2 consider requiring as a condition the 3 removal of the existing residential structure. I concur with Pat that once 4 5 the lot line change is filed and no 6 further construction would occur, you 7 essentially have a residence that would 8 be bisected by a new lot line. As a 9 result, it would make a difficult 10 situation worse if no further 11 construction was to occur. As a result, 12 the condition would be to remove the 13 existing residential structure prior to 14 the signature on the lot line change 15 plans. 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard 17 the conditions of approval for the Lands 18 of LL's Prestige Homes, project number 19 24-30, presented by Planning Board 20 Attorney Dominic Cordisco, would someone

21 move for that motion.

MR. WARD: So moved.MR. MENNERICH: Second.

24CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion25by John Ward. I have a second by Ken

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote
3	starting with Dave Dominick.
4	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
5	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
6	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
8	MR. WARD: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
10	MR. LYTLE: Thank you.
11	
12	(Time noted: 7:12 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Lands of LL's Prestige Homes, LLC
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	
6	
7	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
8	and within the State of New York, do hereby
9	certify:
10	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
11	record of the proceedings.
12	I further certify that I am not related
13	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
14	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
15	interested in the outcome of this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
17	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
18	
19	
20	
21	Michelle Conero
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	MICHELLE CONERO
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 CBD 420, LLC RETAIL CANNABIS DISPENSARY 6 (2024 - 32)7 142 Route 17K Section 95; Block 1; Lot 63.1 8 IB Zone 9 - - - - - X 10 SITE PLAN & SPECIAL USE PERMIT 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:12 p.m. 13 Town of Newburgh Place: Town Hall 14 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH SAFFIOTTI 22 23 - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 3 3 is CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary, project number 24-32. 4 It's 5 before us this evening for a site plan 6 and special use permit. It's located 7 on Route 17K in an IB Zone. Joseph M. 8 Saffiotti is representing the applicant. 9 MR. SAFFIOTTI: Good evening, 10 Mr. Chairman, Board Members. The last 11 time we were here, the Board referred 12 this application to the Zoning Board of 13 Appeals for six variances that were 14 We appeared before the Zoning needed. 15 Board of Appeals, had a public hearing 16 at the December meeting. The Zoning 17 Board of Appeals granted the variances 18 that were needed for this project. 19 Again, this is a repurposing of 20 an existing structure, a storefront in 21 an existing building. 22 We believe that all of the comments 23 have been addressed by the Board.

As is required a public hearing for this project, we would request the

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	Board schedule that public hearing at
3	the next available date.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
5	Pat Hines with MH&E.
6	MR. HINES: The applicant was
7	before the Zoning Board. We don't have
8	copies of the resolution, but I do have
9	an indication that those variances were
10	granted.
11	The County 239 was received with
12	advisory comments regarding signage and
13	lighting.
14	It is a Type 2 action under SEQRA,
15	a change of use within an existing
16	structure. It is a special use in your
17	Code, so a public hearing would be
18	appropriate.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
20	Code Compliance, any comments?
21	MR. CAMPBELL: No comments.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
23	Board Members.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	make a motion to schedule CBD 420, LLC
3	Retail Cannabis Dispensary for a public
4	hearing on the 20th of February.
5	MR. DOMINICK: So moved.
6	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
7	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
8	by Dave Dominick. I have a second by
9	Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call
10	vote starting with Dave Dominick.
11	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
12	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
13	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
15	MR. WARD: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. SAFFIOTTI: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	
21	(Time noted: 7:15 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CBD 420, LLC Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 KUSH FACTORY RETAIL CANNABIS DISPENSARY 6 (2024 - 34)7 1255 NYS Route 300 Section 95; Block 1; Lot 72 8 IB Zone 9 - - - - - X 10 SITE PLAN & SPECIAL USE PERMIT 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:15 p.m. 13 Town of Newburgh Place: Town Hall 14 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: MELZINA CANIGAN IZZARD 22 23 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 4 3 is Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary, project number 24-34. 4 It's 5 here before us this evening for a site 6 plan and special use permit. The project is located in Lowe's Plaza in an IB Zone. 7 8 It's being represented by Melzina --9 what's your last name? 10 MS. CANIGAN IZZARD: Canigan 11 Izzard. 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines, can 13 you review the project with us. 14 Sure. This project was MR. HINES: 15 referred to the Orange County Planning Department. It will be timed out now. 16 17 The last time we checked, they had a 18 percentage complete. It was at eighty 19 percent complete I guess, their review. 20 It's timed out under the statutory 21 timeframes. 22 Once again, similar to the last 23 one, this is a special use under your 24 Town's Cannabis Code and therefore 25 requires a public hearing.

1	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
3	do you have any comments?
4	MR. CAMPBELL: No additional
5	comments.
6	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
7	Planning Board Attorney.
8	MR. CORDISCO: It would be appropriate
9	for the Board to consider scheduling a
10	public hearing at this time.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can someone make
12	a motion to schedule a public hearing for
13	Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary,
14	project number 24-34, for a public hearing
15	on the 20th of February.
16	MR. WARD: So moved.
17	MS. DeLUCA: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
19	by Dave Dominick. I have a second by
20	Stephanie DeLuca. May I please have a
21	roll call vote starting with Dave Dominick.
22	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
23	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
24	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

1 Kush Factory Retail Cannabis Dispensary 2 MR. WARD: Aye. 3 MS. CANIGAN IZZARD: Thank you. 4 5 (Time noted: 7:17 p.m.) 6 7 CERTIFICATION 8 9 I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for 10 and within the State of New York, do hereby 11 certify: 12 That hereinbefore set forth is a true 13 record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related 14 15 to any of the parties to this proceeding by 16 blood or by marriage and that I am in no way 17 interested in the outcome of this matter. 18 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 19 my hand this 21st day of January 2025. 20 21 22 23 Michelle Conero 24 MTCHELLE CONERO 25

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 PILOT TRAVEL CENTER - EV CHARGING 6 (2024 - 20)7 NYS Route 17K Section 89; Block 1; Lot 38.22 8 B Zone 9 - - - - X 10 SITE PLAN - EV CHARGING STATION 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:17 p.m. Place: 13 Town of Newburgh Town Hall 14 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ANDREW FETHERSTON 22 23 - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Pilot travel Center - EV Charging 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 5, 3 Pilot Travel Center - EV Charging, 4 project number 24-20. This is a site 5 plan and EV charging station. It's located on Route 17K in a B Zone. It's 6 7 being represented by Andrew Fetherston. 8 MR. FETHERSTON: Good evening, 9 Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. 10 Andrew Fetherston, Colliers Engineering 11 & Design. 12 I believe the last time we were 13 here we were waiting for a letter from 14 County Planning. That was received. 15 They had no additional comments on the 16 application. 17 Mr. Chairman, I'm here to request 18 that the Board consider waiving the 19 public hearing on this application. 20 We're seeking conditional final 21 approval. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll 23 poll the Board Members. Dave Dominick, 24 do you want -- excuse me. Let me stop 25 for a second. For site plans the

1 Pilot travel Center - EV Charging

2 Planning Board has discretionary approval 3 or denial -- not denial, but approval or 4 to not have a public hearing. I'm going 5 to poll the Board Members now to find out 6 if they want to hold a public hearing or 7 waive the public hearing. I'll start 8 with Dave Dominick. MR. DOMINICK: John, I think we 9 10 could waive the public hearing. I'd like to give a reason, just for the record. 11 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. 13 MR. DOMINICK: Given that this 14 project is on a high-volume site, I don't 15 believe a public hearing is warranted. 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca. 17 MS. DeLUCA: Agreed. 18 MR. MENNERICH: I agree. Also 19 because the amount of adjustment to the 20 project site is minimal. 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okav. I move 22 to waive the public hearing. 23 MR. WARD: I'd waive it, too. The 24 location is excellent for the site. 25 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record

1 Pilot travel Center - EV Charging

2	show that all of the Planning Board
3	Members waived the public hearing for
4	Pilot Travel Center - EV Charging. The
5	reasoning to support that action is part
6	of the minutes.
7	At this time I'll turn the meeting
8	over to Pat Hines with MH&E.
9	MR. HINES: We confirm that the
10	project was submitted on December 3rd to
11	County Planning. We have a no impact
12	letter from County Planning with no
13	advisory comments.
14	You waived the public hearing.
15	There's a minimal amount of
16	landscaping. We would defer any of the
17	landscaping security to the Building
18	Department rather than having security
19	posted for that. It would be more of an
20	effort to post security than really it's
21	worth based on the amount of landscaping.
22	Otherwise, there are no outstanding
23	comments.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
25	Code Compliance.

1 Pilot travel Center - EV Charging MR. CAMPBELL: Nothing additional. 2 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: All right. At 4 this point we'll turn the meeting over to 5 Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board Attorney, to give us conditions of approval. 6 7 MR. CORDISCO: The conditions 8 actually are simpler than normal, 9 Mr. Chairman, because we don't have any outstanding engineering comments that 10 11 have to be addressed. 12 As Pat suggested, the particulars 13 of this one would include deferring the 14 landscaping security to oversight by 15 the Building Department rather than 16 going through the process of providing 17 a bond to the Town for the minimal 18 amount of landscaping that is going to 19 occur here. Compliance with the plan. 20 Nothing can get built that isn't shown 21 on the plan. It is for this particular 22 If anything is proposed as far as use. 23 changes in the future, they would have 24 to return to the Board for an amended 25 approval.

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you,
3	Dominic.
4	Would someone move for a motion
5	subject to the conditions presented by
6	Planning Board Attorney Dominic Cordisco.
7	MR. WARD: So moved.
8	MR. MENNERICH: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion
10	by John Ward. I have a second by Ken
11	Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote
12	starting with Dave Dominick.
13	MR. DOMINICK: Aye.
14	MS. DeLUCA: Aye.
15	MR. MENNERICH: Aye.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.
17	MR. WARD: Aye.
18	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Motion carried.
19	MR. FETHERSTON: Great. Thank you,
20	Mr. Chairman.
21	
22	(Time noted: 7:21 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1	Pilot travel Center - EV Charging
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	
1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 17K VINEYARDS & SPIRITS 6 (2024 - 21)7 274 Route 17K Section 86; Block 1; Lot 12 8 IB Zone 9 - - - - - - - X 10 SITE PLAN 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:21 p.m. Place: 13 Town of Newburgh Town Hall 14 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVES: FLOYD JOHNSON 22 SUKHVIR SINGH 23 - - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 6, 3 17K Vineyards & Spirits, project number 24-21. It's a site plan located on 4 5 Route 17K in an IB Zone. The 6 applicant's representative is Floyd 7 Johnson. 8 MR. JOHNSON: Good evening. The 9 November comments were updated. 10 DOT still has some concerns about 11 the site. One of them is that the 12 property line runs down the middle of the 13 road on the west side. That is from the 14 surveyor. I don't know how DOT wants me 15 to address that, go back and question the 16 surveyor why that property line is in the 17 middle of the road. That's one of their 18 concerns. 19 The other concern that they had was 20 the exit in to the property. He mentions

21 there's an in or a right out of the 22 property. There's no exit on this 23 entranceway. The sign says do not enter, 24 one way. They have to exit out the west 25 side of the property. If you allow

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits

2 someone to go in here, you know somebody is going to attempt to make a left-hand 3 4 The sign says no left-hand turn, turn. 5 do not enter. I can address that with 6 DOT and say that we're not putting a 7 right-hand turn in that driveway. 8 The other comment that they made 9 was that the -- apparently he doesn't see 10 it, the delivery -- the parking space 11 right here is for deliveries. Do not 12 park. I guess he missed that. The other thing is, I guess there's 13 14 two forms for the Perm 33. I thought I 15 was sending the right one in. I sent it 16 a couple of times. I will go back to the 17 site and see -- look for the one that 18 says Perm 33 Com. I guess I'm filling 19 out the wrong form and sending it to 20 them. 21 The other condition was, I quess 22 there's a certain detail that he wants 23 for the sight of vision. He wants me to 24 go to Chapter 5. 25 Those were the last comments that I

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits 2 got. MR. HINES: Are those Ken Wersted's 3 comments or DOT? 4 5 MR. JOHNSON: What? MR. HINES: Are those from the 6 7 Town's Traffic Consultant or DOT? MR. JOHNSON: 8 DOT. MR. HINES: Ken mirrored those 9 10 comments in his comments today as well. 11 The DOT is suggesting that the Town 12 request a dedication of the property to 13 the center line. We typically do that for subdivisions but not often for site 14 15 plans because there are no changes in the 16 lots on this right now. It's up to the 17 Board. It's a roadway by use, not by the 18 right-of-way. The property lines are 19 shown to the center line. It just became 20 a road. The Town has all the rights it 21 needs as a roadway by use. 22 I believe DOT might be looking for 23 a definitive right turn in only. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 25 MR. HINES: You'll have to work it

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits

2 out with them. Looking at their comment, 3 I believe they want to have the curbing such that it's forcing the right turn 4 5 only and no one can sneak out and make a 6 left or a right out. They may entertain 7 a right in/right out only, I don't know, 8 with the pork chop, for lack of a better You'll have to work that out with 9 term. 10 them. 11 Our technical comments have been 12 addressed. 13 County Planning issued a Local 14 determination with no advisory comments. 15 I think the challenge here is with 16 this site being in proximity to the four-17 way intersection there and the stoplight, 18 to work out the issues with DOT. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell, Code Compliance, any comments? 21 22 MR. CAMPBELL: I just have a 23 couple. 24 I see that you removed the 25 electronic sign from the plans. That's

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	good because that would require a special
3	use permit.
4	Also, are there any building-
5	mounted signs proposed?
6	MR. SINGH: Not right now.
7	MR. HINES: Sir, can you give your
8	name.
9	MR. SINGH: Sukhvir Singh from 17K
10	Vineyards & Spirits.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
12	Board Members. Dave Dominick.
13	MR. DOMINICK: I echo what DOT and
14	Ken Wersted are saying about the 17K
15	entrance, making it a right only in and
16	that's it. You can put all the signs you
17	want, nobody is going to they're going
18	to sneak through.
19	The other option I suggest that we
20	discussed as well would be to eliminate
21	that entrance and come in off the side
22	road.
23	Similar to the Cumberland Farms,
24	and what John Ward was saying, a
25	stonewall in front, a nice stonewall, and

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	you have eliminated all of the obstacles
3	that you're facing right now.
4	MR. SINGH: Unfortunately that
5	won't work for my business. I can do
6	right in and right out.
7	MR. DOMINICK: If you did a right
8	in/right out, as Pat Hines said, our
9	engineer, a pork chop would be needed in
10	that section. Curbing.
11	MR. SINGH: We'll do the necessary
12	curbing.
13	MR. JOHNSON: I believe it was DOT
14	that suggested that we have the
15	combination sign, the one way/do not
16	enter sign, so that no one would come
17	back out that way. That particular sign
18	is sitting there at the end so that no
19	one would enter into that exit.
20	MR. DOMINICK: Right. They are
21	still going to sneak through. That's why
22	I think if you made curbing to force them
23	right in and not any way to get back out,
24	it would be much easier and much safer,
25	especially on 17K. That's a dangerous

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits

road.

2

3 MR. JOHNSON: So narrow the 4 entranceway? As long as it's a 20-foot 5 entrance for a truck, someone is going to -- somebody is going to attempt -- no 6 7 matter what you put there, somebody is 8 going to attempt to go out. This is a 9 very busy highway. Somebody is going to 10 attempt to make that left. 11 MR. DOMINICK: Can you make it, 12 Pat, with the curbing? 13 MR. HINES: We typically do that at 14 numerous locations, to have the right in/ 15 right out. DOT has a standard detail for 16 that. 17 I think Ken Wersted offered to 18 discuss that with you in his comments. 19 You may want to reach out to Ken as you 20 work through with DOT. He may be of some 21 assistance to provide you with the 22 information you need. 23 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca. 25 MS. DeLUCA: Nothing further.

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment. 3 MR. MENNERICH: No questions. 4 MR. WARD: My suggestion would be 5 to close it off and have an entrance on 6 Colden Hill Road. At the same time, if 7 you do go with the pork chop, if the DOT 8 is trying to improve everything, if you 9 look at Dunkin Donuts further down by the 10 Montgomery border there, they have like a 11 pork chop separating it. It forces you 12 to make a right. It forces you to go in 13 an entrance one way or another. You 14 can't go over it. It's big enough that 15 it's an island-type thing. The easiest 16 way would be shutting it off.

17 When we had Cumberland Farms, they 18 had an entrance right by the light. 19 That's what the problem is here. You've 20 got the light and a few entrances right 21 in that vicinity where you want to have 22 an entrance. You'll have as much traffic 23 and business going the other way. As 24 long as they see your store, they're 25 going -- they'd rather go on Colden Hill

```
1 17K Vineyards & Spirits
```

2 Road to go in. If you did a stonewall 3 like Cumberland Farms all the way across, 4 it's a presentation to what you have. 5 It's safer. MR. SINGH: Yes, but Cumberland 6 7 Farms is a gas station, so people go for 8 gas how they want to. If it's going to 9 be a liquor store and wine store, if 10 somebody can't get an easy in, they're 11 not going to go. 12 MR. WARD: You have a business 13 across the street that's a restaurant 14 with an entrance right across from that. 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Can we pause 16 for a second? Can we pause for a second? 17 I think, as Pat Hines said, if you work 18 with Ken Wersted, our Traffic Consultant, 19 Ken will help you with the DOT. What 20 you're looking for is a concept approval 21 from the DOT. They'll require a 22 technical design to reflect the concept. 23 I think there are many different opinions 24 and comments that are made by Planning 25 Board Members, but the authority lies

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits

2 with the DOT because they're the 3 permitting agency. I think for the best 4 interest -- Dominic Cordisco, can you 5 speak on the matter? 6 MR. CORDISCO: Yes. So this 7 Board's practice -- the DOT's input and 8 involvement is integral to this project because of its access and their 9 10 jurisdiction. It's been this Board's 11 practice in the past, including in the 12 recent past, to not schedule a public 13 hearing until we have concept approval from the DOT. 14 15 Ken Wersted, who is the Board's 16 Traffic Consultant, has offered to work 17 directly with you to assist in getting to 18 that point with the DOT to kind of 19 facilitate that along, to the extent that 20 he can, and provide some guidance there. 21 That would be the logical next step I 22 think for the Board to give direction to 23 the applicant. 24 MR. JOHNSON: Would we be out of 25 line asking for the Board to take a vote

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits

2 with the condition with DOT? 3 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We can't 4 because the DOT -- you have to go through 5 the stages. Ken Wersted will help you 6 get the concept approval. With his help 7 you'll come up with the proper design for 8 the DOT to grant conceptual approval. 9 Based upon the conceptual approval, we 10 could then take the next step. After 11 that, you still have to come up with a 12 final technical review from the DOT. Т 13 think we're trying to say, Floyd, and 14 Mr. Dominic Cordisco said, Ken Wersted 15 said it, the DOT has approval on what 16 you're presenting. We can't kind of 17 second guess them or override them 18 based upon what we feel is our opinion. 19 That wouldn't stand up in court. It 20 would be arbitrary and capricious 21 because none of us sitting here are 22 licensed professionals, whereas Ken Wersted is a licensed professional who could help 23 24 you navigate this with the DOT. 25 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you.

1 17K Vineyards & Spirits 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: You're welcome. 3 MR. JOHNSON: It didn't hurt to 4 ask. 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We want you to be successful. We don't want to 6 7 jeopardize your potential. We don't want 8 to jeopardize our position. 9 MR. CORDISCO: The difficulty, if I 10 may, --11 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Please. 12 MR. CORDISCO: -- is that if the 13 Board did what you were asking, which was 14 make the project conditioned upon 15 approval by the DOT, then if the DOT's 16 approval would require changes to the 17 plans, you would have to come back to 18 this Board anyway. 19 MR. JOHNSON: Anyway. 20 MR. CORDISCO: We're trying to 21 avoid the duplication of your effort as 22 well. 23 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 24 25 (Time noted: 7:30 p.m.)

1	17K Vineyards & Spirits
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1 1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 VERIZON WIRELESS - CRONOMER HILL 6 (2024 - 22)7 248 North Plank Road Section 34; Block 2; Lot 21 8 B Zone 9 - - - - X 10 SITE PLAN & SPECIAL USE PERMIT 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:30 p.m. 13 Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300 14 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID BRENNAN 22 NATHAN KEENAN 23 - - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill

2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Item number 3 seven, Verizon Wireless - Cronomer Hill, 4 project number 24-22. It's a site plan 5 and special use permit. It's located on 6 North Plank Road in a B Zone. It's being 7 represented by Tectonic Engineering.

8 MR. BRENNAN: Good evening, ladies 9 and gentlemen. I'm Dave Brennan with the 10 law firm of Young/Sommer out of Albany, 11 filling in for Scott Olson this evening 12 who is triple booked. With me is Nate 13 Keenan from Tectonic Engineering.

14 I apologize. I think I have this 15 at my fingertips, but if I misspeak, I 16 apologize. Since this was last before 17 the Board, there's been a visual resource 18 evaluation, a balloon flight conducted in 19 early December in leaf-off conditions. 20 That was presented to the Town for your 21 review. We've had some review comments 22 generated since then.

This evening I expect that
hopefully we're in a position to consider
scheduling a public hearing, and also I

1 Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill

2 believe referral has not yet been made to 3 County Planning. I think with the 4 completion of the visual resource 5 evaluation, all of that information 6 necessary for a complete submission to 7 County Planning is now before the Board. 8 I can speak about these things 9 longer than I think you want to listen, 10 so I'll hand it back to the Chair and 11 follow your lead, sir. 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. At 13 this point I'll refer the matter to Pat 14 Hines with MH&E Engineering. 15 MR. HINES: A couple of things have 16 occurred. We received a no effect 17 notification from the Office of Parks, 18 Recreation, Historic Preservation. 19 The balloon test was conducted on 20 14 November after some delays associated 21 with some wind for two weeks prior. Ιt 22 was successfully completed on a day that 23 wasn't windy. That report was submitted 24 on December 6th. 25 Generally the tower will not be

visible from vantage points in Cronomer Hill Park, which was an area we were concerned with. The existing vegetation in the leaf-off condition significantly screens the tower based on the balloon test.

8 The Town's tower consultant had 9 some comments and should weigh in on the 10 balloon testing as well.

11 The tower was visible from the 12 Chadwick Lake Park pavilion, which is a 13 site the Board added, as well as the new 14 recreation center. I refer the Board to 15 photo P-36A in the visual simulation.

16 The existing tower at the Cronomer 17 Valley Firehouse location is much more 18 visible and much closer to that facility. 19 It's very apparent when you look at that 20 photo that the proposed tower is off in 21 the distance and screened by distance and 22 some vegetation.

I did comment on the fact, and it caught my eye when we were looking at the visual simulations, that the Cronomer

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	Valley Fire Station cell tower is very
3	visible and located in close proximity.
4	In response to that, the applicants
5	have replied, as well as Mr. Fishman had
6	commented, that that tower does not
7	provide the coverage for the areas that
8	the proposed tower is targeting. They
9	did respond to that. We'll defer to
10	Mr. Fishman's office in the future to
11	concur with that.
12	Since the balloon test has been
13	conducted, it would be appropriate to
14	submit the plans to Orange County
15	Planning for a 239 review and the
16	complete application.
17	The applicants have requested to
18	defer the structural analysis and
19	drawings to a later date. In the past
20	the Board has required those as part of
21	the submissions when HDR was doing the
22	tower review. If you do defer them, we
23	would suggest that any approval
24	resolution in the future note that

25 those drawings would need to be

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	submitted and reviewed at the Building
3	Department.
4	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell,
5	Code Compliance.
6	MR. CAMPBELL: Nothing additional
7	at this time.
8	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco,
9	Planning Board Attorney.
10	MR. CORDISCO: The steps for the
11	Board to consider tonight would be the
12	scheduling of the public hearing and the
13	referral to the County Planning
14	Department, as suggested by counsel. We
15	concur that those would be the appropriate
16	steps at this point.
17	The Board has not yet received
18	comments, as Pat noted, from Doug Fishman,
19	the Town's Telecommunications Consultant,
20	but my suggestion would be that his
21	comments could be received and the
22	Board could consider them as well as
23	beginning processing the public hearing.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let's talk
25	about time. The County has thirty days

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	from receipt of the referral. That
3	brings us to what date?
4	MR. CORDISCO: That would bring us
5	to February assuming that the referral
6	was made within the next couple of days,
7	that would bring us to the latter half of
8	February. The Board's meeting it is on
9	February 20th in terms of that second
10	meeting in February. My suggestion would
11	be to schedule the public hearing for the
12	first meeting in March, which would be
13	March 6th.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I didn't hear
15	that clearly. That was March 5th?
16	MR. CORDISCO: Thursday, March 6th.
17	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.
18	Comments from Board Members.
19	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further.
20	MR. WARD: Nothing.
21	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
22	MR. MENNERICH: Nothing.
23	MR. WARD: Nothing.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll look for a
25	motion from the Board to make a referral

1 Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill 2 to the Orange County Planning Department 3 for Verizon Wireless - Cronomer Hill 4 Park, project number 24-22, site plan and 5 special use permit, and to schedule in the future for a public hearing on the, 6 7 did we say the 6th of March? MR. CORDISCO: 8 Yes. 9 MR. DOMINICK: March 6th. 10 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone 11 make for a motion? 12 MR. MENNERICH: So moved. 13 MR. DOMINICK: Second. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. Ι 15 have a motion by Ken Mennerich. I have a 16 second by Dave Dominick. Can I have a 17 roll call vote starting with Dave Dominick. 18 19 MR. DOMINICK: Aye. 20 MS. DeLUCA: Aye. 21 MR. MENNERICH: Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ave. 23 MR. WARD: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay, Mr. Brennan. 25 MR. CORDISCO: Mr. Chairman, I

1 Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill

2 actually have one additional item, if I 3 may. Just for the record, to make it 4 clear, Mr. Olson had acknowledged that 5 prior to the submission of the visual 6 impact assessment, including the balloon 7 test, the shot clock had been pulled at 8 that point. Even though the application had been made, it wasn't a complete 9 10 application because it was outstanding, 11 that part which is required by the Code. 12 That submission was made on December 6th. 13 We just want to acknowledge that the shot 14 clock is now running, but it starts to 15 run as of December 6th because that was 16 the submission to make it essentially a 17 complete application on this matter. 18 MR. BRENNAN: Sure. 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: And the 20 timeline with the shot clock is how many 21 days? 22 MR. CORDISCO: It's 150 days. 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 150? 24 MR. CORDISCO: Correct. 25 MR. BRENNAN: We have no problem

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	concurring with that timing as well as
3	any necessary waiver with respect to
4	where this process is. We would note
5	that for the record. We appreciate the
6	Board's vote this evening.
7	If I'm not the one that gets to
8	come back at the next meeting, it was
9	nice meeting you all. Thank you very
10	much.
11	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you,
12	Mr. Brennan.
13	
14	(Time noted: 7:40 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Verizon Wireless- Cronomer Hill
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 FARRELL INDUSTRIAL PARK 6 (2023 - 09)7 New York State Route 300 Section 34; Block 2; Lot 45 8 IB Zone 9 - - - - - X 10 PUBLIC HEARING - CLEARING & GRADING 11 12 January 16, 2025 Date: Time: 7:40 p.m. 13 Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall 1496 Route 300 14 Newburgh, NY 12550 15 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: KENNETH MENNERICH 17 STEPHANIE DeLUCA DAVID DOMINICK 18 JOHN A. WARD 19 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 20 JAMES CAMPBELL 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVES: JOSEPH MODAFFERI 22 ABRAHAM POMERANTZ - - - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25

Farrell Industrial Park

2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our eighth item 3 of business this evening is Farrell Industrial Park, project number 23-09. 4 5 It's here for a public hearing on a clearing and grading application. 6 It's 7 in an IB Zone. It's being represented by 8 JMC Planning. Mr. Mennerich will read the notice 9 10 of hearing. 11 MR. MENNERICH: "Notice of hearing, 12 Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please 13 take notice that the Planning Board of 14 the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, 15 New York will hold a public hearing 16 pursuant to Section 274-A of the New 17 York State Town Law and Chapter 83 of 18 the Town of Newburgh Code on the 19 application of Farrell Industrial Park, 20 project 2023-09. The project proposes 21 a 262,080 square foot warehouse. The 22 project is applying under Chapter 83, 23 Clear and Grading, of the Town Code. 24 The site is a 35.21 plus or minus acre 25 parcel of property located in the IB

2 Zone. The project has a stormwater 3 pollution prevention plan and an 4 erosion and sediment control plan 5 prepared. The project will require 6 coverage under the New York State DEC 7 and the Town of Newburgh Stormwater 8 Management Regulations. Interim sediment traps have been designed 9 10 within the grading plan. A phased plan 11 is proposed in order to limit the 12 amount of disturbed area on the site at any one time. The site is located on 13 14 the Town Tax Maps as Section 34; 15 Block 2, Lot 45. A public hearing will 16 be held on the 16th day of January 2025 17 at the Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 18 Route 300, Newburgh, New York at 7 p.m. 19 or as soon thereafter, at which time all interested persons will be given an 20 21 opportunity to be heard. By order of 22 the Town of Newburgh Planning Board. 23 John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, Planning Board Town of Newburgh. Dated 24 December 24 25 2024."

25

Farrell Industrial Park

2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: For the record 3 would you introduce yourself. MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Joe Modafferi 4 5 with JMC, project manager and here representing the applicant. I also have 6 7 Abraham Pomerantz here with me on behalf 8 of the applicant. 9 Good evening, Chairman, Members of 10 the Board. As was stated, we're here for 11 a clearing and grading permit public 12 hearing for the recently approved 13 warehouse project. It is approximately 14 262,000 square feet, as shown on the plan 15 in front of you today. 16 There will be two phases of the 17 clearing and grading or grading for this 18 project. The first phase will be the 19 clearing and grading phase where we're 20 looking for this approval and permit. 21 The second phase will be the final 22 grading phase which would include the 23 final grades, utilities, et cetera. 24 The first phase will be phase 1-A

where we are proposing to create the site

2 access and sediment traps at the site 3 entrance along Route 300 and construct a 4 sediment trap in the midportion of the 5 site -- sediment basin 1 in the mid-6 portion of the site which will capture 7 some of the earthwork from this portion 8 of the work. We're also proposing to 9 excavate and construct temporary sediment 10 basin 2 early on in the process. We 11 don't have anything going to that basin 12 during this phase, but we want to give it adequate time to germinate seed and, you 13 14 know, be more -- do a better job of 15 controlling sediment, et cetera. So this 16 is phase 1-A. During phase 1-A and 17 during some of the other phases, we need 18 to construct some retaining walls. There 19 are some significant retaining walls on 20 this project to have the grading work. 21 We understand that clearing and grading 22 permits don't typically allow building 23 permits, but we're requesting, as part of 24 that grading plan and grading permit, 25 that we can get building permits to

2	construct the retaining walls because
3	they're necessary to complete the
4	grading. For this phase we would be
5	constructing wall A on the northeast
6	corner of the property. This is
7	approximately 9 acres of disturbance. A
8	little bit more than that.
9	Phase 1-B would then be to
10	construct essentially the building
11	pads. The building pad finished
12	grade for the building is roughly 456.
13	We would build this pad to 455. It
14	would be a flat pad. We would
15	construct the grades around it. As part
16	of that, we're proposing three sediment
17	traps along the south portion of the
18	property that would capture the
19	sediment as these fills would be done.
20	This is approximately 14 acres of
21	disturbance at this time. We would also
22	initiate construction of walls B and C
23	along the southern property line.
24	Phase 1-C would be construction of
25	the roadways here and a little bit more

1

25

2 grading down here to fill in this and continue to build these walls. 3 Tt. 4 would be at this time that we would 5 initiate using the sediment basin. We'd 6 have a good amount of time for this basin 7 itself to generate seed and germinate in 8 an effort to best protect the wetland 9 that's adjacent. This would be 10 approximately a little bit more than 5 11 acres of disturbance. We would continue 12 with -- I don't know if I said it -raising these walls, B and C, a little 13 14 bit more.

15 Then phase 1-D would be to 16 continue some additional grading along 17 the south side of the property and the 18 east side of the property to bring it up 19 to final grades as much as we possibly 20 can and distribute some more of the soil 21 to build the berm that's proposed here. 22 This would essentially finish all of the 23 work that we would do during the clearing 24 and grading.

The next phase, which we're

2	showing on the next sheet, would be to
3	essentially finish construction of this
4	roadway, backfill against the building,
5	finish the grading of this parking
6	area, finish the grading of this
7	parking area here and excavate the two
8	detention basins that are proposed in the
9	northwest corner of the property based on
10	the approved plan.
11	That's essentially the project
12	and what we're looking to do for
13	clearing and grading.
14	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
15	Board Members. Dave Dominick.
16	MR. DOMINICK: No. It's been very
17	detailed all along. Nothing further from
18	me.
19	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca.
20	MS. DeLUCA: No. It looks very
21	good.
22	MR. MENNERICH: No comments.
23	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Weather
24	conditions being favorable, all the
25	phases you're discussing, completion

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	amounts to how many months?
3	MR. MODAFFERI: Twelve to eighteen
4	maybe. Maybe twelve months.
5	MR. POMERANTZ: Hopefully less,
6	but
7	MR. MODAFFERI: Hopefully less.
8	Actually, I guess we're looking at two
9	years for full construction. This might
10	be nine to twelve.
11	MR. HINES: Twelve is the right
12	answer.
13	MR. MODAFFERI: Twelve is the right
14	answer?
15	MR. HINES: Clearing and grading
16	permits are only valid for a year.
17	MR. MODAFFERI: All right. Twelve
18	months or less. I'll give you that based
19	on that.
20	MR. HINES: Unless you obtain
21	completed site plan approval, at which
22	time your clearing and grading permit
23	becomes your site plan approval.
24	MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. And then we
25	get the building permit. We will,

obviously in accordance with the 2 3 requirements, as the plans are showing, 4 the red and green for the grades under 5 that phase and the darker black grades 6 from the previous phase. The areas not 7 being disturbed will be temporarily 8 seeded and mulched. Where we can, as we 9 go along we will do the final seeding and 10 spreading of topsoil where and as it 11 makes sense. 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward. 13 MR. WARD: No comments. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jim Campbell, 15 Code Compliance. 16 MR. CAMPBELL: As previously 17 stated, building permits are required for 18 the walls. 19 Also, third-party inspections and 20 engineer sign-offs would also be 21 required. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point 23 I'd like to turn the meeting over to the public for any questions or comments. 24 25 For the record can you give your

1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 first name. 3 BILL: Bill, Rockwood Drive. The permit will be by phase or will that be 4 5 one permit covers all phases of the grading and clearing? 6 7 MR. HINES: It's one permit for all 8 phases. The phasing is construction 9 phasing to limit the amount of 10 disturbance. The project will require a 11 5-acre waiver, because the way they've 12 broken out the clearing and grading, it 13 exceeds 5 acres at any one time for 14 several of the phases. We have worked 15 with the applicant to develop the phasing 16 plan. We made some suggestions, 17 including the construction of the 18 permanent pond to the east early on. 19 A couple of projects that had to 20 have clearing and grading permits, that's 21 worked very well to get the larger

stormwater fixtures in earlier in the project than originally proposed. They have been able to incorporate that into their clearing and grading plan.
1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 BILL: Will each phase be 3 permanently stabilized prior to the 4 initiation of the next phase? 5 They would be MR. MODAFFERI: seeded and mulched. We would make sure 6 7 that as progression goes, we would get the stabilization from the seed. 8 We 9 wouldn't stop work on the clearing and 10 grading when we finish phase 1-A. We 11 would seed and mulch everything that 12 doesn't intend to be touched for phase 13 1-B, but we wouldn't wait for 14 germination. 15 BILL: In effect, this is one 16 continuous process? 17 MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Yes. 18 BILL: The detention basins in the 19 northwest corner are going to be 20 constructed at the end of the project. 21 Is it not their intent to be catching 22 drainage during the whole project? MR. MODAFFERI: Not during the 23 24 grading. That's where the final grading 25 comes into play with this phase, phase 2

here. All of the grades for the roadway 2 3 as we build it in the first phase will be 4 pitched towards this temporary basin and 5 then there's a swale proposed. The road, 6 during those early phases, will be much 7 narrower just to allow construction trucks to come in. Once we're in the 8 9 final phase, when we have the building 10 permit, we're going to widen the road and 11 build it per the plan, pitching to both 12 sides with the drainage installed and 13 everything. 14 MR. HINES: Those are also 15 infiltration basins and cannot be 16 utilized for sediment control during 17 construction, --18 MR. MODAFFERI: That's correct. 19 Yes. 20 MR. HINES: -- otherwise it impacts 21 the infiltration. That's the reason 22 those are being deferred, because they 23 don't want to disturb the infiltration. 24 BILL: It would be grown in because

25 it would be utilized?

1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 MR. HINES: You have to have the 3 site basically stabilized so you can 4 utilize the infiltration basins. 5 MR. MODAFFERI: In the final plan 6 that was approved as part of the site 7 plan, there are some drainage structures 8 in this area that will convey drainage to 9 the structures, but there is a temporary 10 pipe system that will convey it to this 11 side until such time that these have 12 germinated. So while it's under 13 construction, we need to protect those 14 and allow them to -- you know, the seed 15 to germinate before we can convey storm 16 there. There's a temporary pipe that 17 would go in and some balloons or 18 blockages on the outlet pipes going into 19 these structures, into the basin. 20 BILL: Given the amount of soil on

21 the site and the potential for good wet 22 weather, the hope for good wet weather, 23 not for the project but just for the 24 region, is there a substantial clean-off 25 mat at the front of the site to keep the

1	F	a	r	r	е	1	1	I	n	d	u	s	t	r	i	a	1	Ρ	a	r	k	
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

2	material from being tracked onto the
3	road, which is a very busy road? It's
4	going to be a nuisance if it gets muddy.
5	MR. MODAFFERI: There is a proposed
6	construction access in accordance with
7	the standard State details. Obviously if
8	there are issues during construction,
9	we're going to address them and work with
10	the Town and whatever we have to do to
11	ensure that the road is clean.
12	BILL: Is the clean-off detail site
13	size contingent? Does it depend on the
14	size of the site?
15	MR. MODAFFERI: It does not.
16	MR. HINES: There are minimum
17	dimensions in the DEC's design guideline
18	book.
19	BILL: Per acre of site?
20	MR. HINES: Not per acre of site.
21	There are minimum dimensions. That's
22	calculated based on the amount of wheel
23	turning coming out of the site to clean
24	that off. I believe it's a 50-foot
25	minimum dimension.

1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 MR. MODAFFERI: 50 foot or 3 something. It's intended to clean the tires of the trucks going offsite. 4 The 5 tires have a certain amount of tread and 6 it's calculated that way. 7 The efficacy and maintenance BILL: 8 of the clean-off pad is critical to that being functional? 9 10 MR. MODAFFERI: Absolutely. It's on the contractor to maintain that pad. 11 12 MR. HINES: Because of the amount of disturbance being greater than 5 acres 13 14 at any one time, they also were required 15 to have two inspections per week by their 16 qualified inspector rather than the one 17 for less than 5 acres of disturbance. 18 Also, all portions of the site 19 brought to final grade have to be seeded 20 and mulched within seven days rather than 21 the fourteen. 22 BILL: Are there financial 23 penalties for tracking mud onto the --24 after reasonable warning? I don't know 25 if the Code --

1

2 MR. HINES: The Town's stormwater 3 ordinance contains provisions for 4 penalties. The DOT permits can be 5 It is a DOT road. They're not revoked. 6 going to tolerate mud being tracked out 7 there. My office does periodic 8 inspections of these sites in addition to 9 those conducted by the applicant's 10 qualified inspector, and certainly the 11 Building Department also is available for 12 that. If I recall, is this site --13 BILL: 14 I might have the wrong site here. Ιs 15 this site plaqued with high bedrock in 16 certain spots? 17 MR. HINES: There is going to be bedrock removal in the area of the 18 19 building pad. I'll defer to the 20 applicant. 21 MR. MODAFFERI: Yes. Essentially 22 at the top of the hill there's a 23 significant amount of rock. The 24 intention is to remove that rock and 25 process it and use it as a base under the

1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 building. 3 BILL: What's the means of removal? 4 MR. MODAFFERI: It's going to be 5 chipping or blasting. I believe we have notes on the plan that we have to conform 6 7 to the Town Code and get a blasting 8 permit as may be required. 9 BILL: Early on there was quite a 10 bit of local residential interest here. 11 I'm surprised there's no one here 12 tonight. That's their responsibility, 13 not yours. 14 I think that's all I have to say. 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point 17 we'll turn the meeting over to Pat Hines 18 with MH&E. 19 MR. HINES: Our first comment just 20 identifies that they're here for a 21 clearing and grading permit application. 22 The project will require a 5-acre 23 That's granted by the Town waiver. 24 Board 25 The phased grading plan has been

1

2

3

4

5

prepared. We did have a technical work session with the applicants and reviewed that phased grading plan, provided some comments and suggestions.

There's a requirement for security 6 7 for clearing and grading permits. 8 Typically the Town requires \$3,500 per There's 23.5 acres of disturbance 9 acre. 10 proposed. Don't use the math in my memo. 11 We did it during work session and that's 12 \$82,250 for security just for the 13 clearing and grading. There will be 14 additional securities required as part of 15 the site plan approval for erosion and 16 sediment control and the long-term 17 grading for the long-term drainage plan.

We're suggesting that an inspection fee be established for the clearing and grading for the operation, the monitoring of the operation and maintenance of that.

The applicants are requesting to obtain building permits to construct retaining walls as part of the clearing and grading permit. The Town has done

2 that in the past for these larger 3 projects. It would be difficult to clear 4 and grade a site such as this that has 5 retaining walls integral to their grading The Board would have to be in 6 plan. 7 favor of doing that as well. The site has conditional final 8 9 approval with numerous conditions to be 10 addressed currently. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Before I refer 11 12 to Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board Attorney, are there any additional 13 14 questions or comments from the public? 15 (No response.) 16 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: There being no 17 further questions or comments from the 18 public, would someone move for a motion 19 to close the public hearing on Farrell 20 Industrial Park, project number 23-09, 21 for a clearing and grading presentation. 22 MR. WARD: So moved. 23 MR. MENNERICH: Second. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion 24 25 by John Ward. I have a second by Ken

1 Farrell Industrial Park 2 Mennerich. Can I have a roll call vote 3 starting with Dave Dominick. 4 MR. DOMINICK: Aye. 5 MS. DeLUCA: Aye. 6 MR. MENNERICH: Ave. 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. 8 MR. WARD: Ave. 9 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'd like to turn 10 the meeting over to Dominic Cordisco, 11 Planning Board Attorney. 12 MR. CORDISCO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 13 As far as SEQRA is concerned, the Board 14 had previously adopted a negative 15 declaration for this project originally 16 in October of 2021. You also adopted 17 an amended negative declaration, based 18 on the site plan amendments that were 19 proposed, at your meeting on December 19th 20 of 2024. That included the clearing and 21 grading permit because that was also 22 applied for at the time, so SEQRA is 23 completed for this project. 24 The application for a clearing 25 and grading permit did not meet the

2	requirements to be referred to the
3	Orange County Planning Department, so
4	the result was that no such referral
5	was made, nor is one required, nor
6	would they review it.
7	The Board, if you're so inclined,
8	could consider at this point granting
9	the clearing and grading permit to the
10	project.
11	The conditions are several. If
12	it's all right, I would like to read
13	them into the record.
14	The applicant would need to
15	comply with Chapter 83 of the Town
16	Code, which is the clearing and grading
17	provisions, because there are a number
18	of conditions that are set forth within
19	that code, rather than repeat them
20	here. Any mitigation measures
21	identified in the amended negative
22	declaration would also have to be
23	complied with. The applicant would
24	need to file with the Town Clerk a
25	performance guarantee in the amount of

1

Farrell Industrial Park

2 \$3,500 per acre for the entire 3 disturbed areas, which is either by 4 certified check or a letter of credit, 5 in a form approved by the Town There's an inspection fee --6 Attorney. 7 an initial inspection fee deposit in 8 the amount of \$3,500. The applicant 9 will abide by the Indiana Bat and 10 northern Long Eared Bat tree clearing 11 restrictions so that there's no tree 12 clearing between April 1st and October 13 1st. The applicant shall obtain 14 coverage under the DEC general permit 15 for stormwater discharges as well as a 16 5-acre waiver from the Town of Newburgh 17 Town Board. Prior to utilizing any 18 heavy vehicles or equipment onsite, the 19 applicant shall obtain a construction 20 entrance permit from the DOT. The 21 applicant shall comply with all 22 requirements of the Tree Preservation 23 & Protection Law of the Town. Site 24 activities are only to be conducted 25 between the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 6:00

2 p.m. when within 1,500 feet of any 3 There shall be no site residence. 4 preparation or activity conducted on 5 Sundays or public holidays. The 6 applicant will have to apply for 7 building permits for the retaining 8 walls and comply with any conditions 9 that the Building Department may have 10 in connection with those. The last one is that the applicant has to pay all 11 12 fees, including escrows, prior to the 13 commencement of any activity on the 14 site.

15 MR. MODAFFERI: If I could just 16 touch on one that you mentioned, the bat I don't believe this site 17 restriction. 18 is within the bat restriction area. Ι 19 don't believe it was part of the site 20 plan. I don't have the EAF in front of 21 me, but I just don't recall that being --22 it wasn't a condition of site plan 23 originally that I recall. I just wanted 24 to touch on that quick.

25 MR. CORDISCO: I don't have the

2 benefit of having the negative 3 declaration in front of me or the EAF. 4 We'll confer on that. If the condition 5 doesn't apply, my suggestion to the Board is that we would omit that from the 6 7 resolution if it's not applicable. 8 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions 9 or comments from Planning Board Members? 10 MR. DOMINICK: No. 11 MS. DeLUCA: No. 12 MR. MENNERICH: No. 13 MR. WARD: No. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard 15 the conditions of approval presented by Planning Board Attorney Dominic Cordisco 16 17 for the clearing and grading application 18 for Farrell Industrial Park, project 19 number 23-09, would someone move for a 20 motion for approval. 21 MR. DOMINICK: I'll make the motion. 22 MR. WARD: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Dave Dominick. I have a second by 24 25 John Ward. Can I have a roll call vote

Farrell Industrial Park starting with John Ward. MR. WARD: Aye. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. MR. MENNERICH: Aye. MS. DeLUCA: Aye. MR. DOMINICK: Aye. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. MR. MODAFFERI: Thank you very much. Have a wonderful evening. Get home safe. (Time noted: 8:02 p.m.) 

1	Farrell Industrial Park
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 3 MARINERS COURT - PAPALEO (2024 - 35)6 7 3 Mariners Court Section 121; Block 1; Lot 7 R-1 Zone 8 9 - - - - - - X 10 PUBLIC HEARING - AMENDED SUBDIVISION 11 CLEARING & GRADING 12 Date: January 16, 2025 13 8:02 p.m. Time: Town of Newburgh Place: 14 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 15 Newburgh, NY 12550 16 JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: 17 KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA 18 DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD 19 DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. ALSO PRESENT: 20 PATRICK HINES JAMES CAMPBELL 21 22 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: DAVID NIEMOTKO 23 - - - - - - - - - - X 24 MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25

1 3 Mariners Court - Papaleo 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Our last item 3 this evening is 3 Mariners Court -Papaleo, project number 24-35. It's here 4 5 this evening for a public hearing on an amended subdivision and clearing and 6 7 grading application. It's located on 8 3 Mariners Court in an R-1 Zone. It's 9 being represented by David -- I always 10 have a hard time, I apologize, with 11 your last name. 12 MR. NIEMOTKO: After all these 13 years. Niemotko. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Niemotko. 15 I'll ask Mr. Mennerich to read the 16 notice of hearing. 17 "Notice of hearing, MR. MENNERICH: 18 Town of Newburgh Planning Board. Please 19 take notice that the Planning Board of 20 the Town of Newburgh, Orange County, 21 New York will hold a public hearing 22 pursuant to Section 274-A of the New 23 York State Town Law and Chapter 83 of 24 the Town of Newburgh Code on the 25 application of 3 Mariners Court -

3 Mariners Court - Papaleo

1

2 Papaleo clearing and grading 3 application/amended subdivision, project 2024-35. The project is an 4 5 amended subdivision to revise the 6 previously approved grading plan on the 7 lot within the Anchorage Subdivision, filed map 2016-02. The project 8 9 proposes modifications to the approved 10 grading plan to place 8,000 plus or minus cubic yards of fill on the site. 11 12 A single-family residential house 13 exists on the site. The project is to 14 be served by an onsite well and an 15 onsite subsurface sanitary sewer 16 disposal system. The project is 17 located in the Town's R-1 Zoning 18 District. The project is designated on 19 the Town Tax Map as Section 121; Block 1; 20 Lot 7. A public hearing will be held 21 on the 16th day of January 2025 at the 22 Town Hall Meeting Room, 1496 Route 300, 23 Newburgh, New York at 7 p.m. or as soon 24 thereafter as it can be heard, at which 25 time all interested persons will be

1 3 Mariners Court - Papaleo

2 given an opportunity to be heard. By 3 order of the Town of Newburgh Planning 4 Board. John P. Ewasutyn, Chairman, 5 Planning Board Town of Newburgh. Dated 9 December 2024." 6 7 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would you make 8 your presentation. 9 MR. NIEMOTKO: Sure. We're here 10 again in response to your comments from 11 our last meeting and the comments we 12 received from McGoey, Hauser & Edsall. 13 Those comments resulted in the following 14 revisions to the plans. 15 As was mentioned, we're proposing 16 to fill approximately 8,000 cubic yards 17 of area -- of fill in this area. 18 The comments that we were asked to 19 review was to allow the grading or detail 20 the grading to be further away from the property line, which we did. 21 22 We introduced stabilization fabric 23 or rolled sections to stabilize the slope 24 as was suggested. 25 In addition to that, we did

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	relocate the construction entrance to a
3	more level area to allow access in and
4	out in a safe manner.
5	We identified the inverts of the
6	existing culvert to make sure that
7	remained unhindered or unconstrained in
8	any way.
9	As a result, we did get Pat's
10	recent letter and I believe we addressed
11	all of the comments satisfactorily.
12	We prepared for the public hearing
13	which included posting the notice onsite
14	and getting the acknowledgement from the
15	clerk.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Comments from
17	Planning Board Members. Dave Dominick.
18	MR. DOMINICK: Nothing at this
19	time.
20	MS. DeLUCA: Nothing.
21	MR. MENNERICH: No questions.
22	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No questions.
23	MR. WARD: No questions.
24	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point
25	we'll open the meeting to the public. If

1 3 Mariners Court - Papaleo 2 anyone has any questions or comments, 3 please raise your hand. 4 (No response.) 5 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Let the record show that there is no public 6 7 comment. 8 At this point I'll ask the Board to move for a motion to close the public 9 10 hearing on Mariners Court - Papaleo, 11 project number 24-35. Would someone make 12 that motion? 13 MS. DeLUCA: So moved. 14 MR. MENNERICH: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion 16 by Stephanie DeLuca. I have a second by 17 Ken Mennerich. Can I have a roll call 18 vote starting with Dave Dominick. 19 MR. DOMINICK: Aye. 20 MS. DeLUCA: Aye. 21 MR. MENNERICH: Aye. 22 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ave. 23 MR. WARD: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this point, 25 Jim Campbell, Code Compliance, do you

1 3 Mariners Court - Papaleo have any questions or comments? 2 3 MR. CAMPBELL: No, I do not. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Pat Hines with 4 5 MH&E. MR. HINES: Our first comment just 6 7 describes the project as was just 8 addressed, 8,000 plus or minus cubic yards of fill on the residential lot. 9 We 10 believe the intention is to make a usable 11 lawn area where it's currently relatively 12 steep outside the building envelop that 13 was constructed. The stabilized construction 14 15 entrance has been relocated. 16 The limits of fill have been 17 redesigned to move further from the 18 adjoining property. 19 The project proposes to disturb a 20 footprint of .61 acres. 21 The Planning Board should consider 22 requiring security for the clearing and 23 grading operation as well as an inspection 24 fee. 25 This is a rather unique project.

1 3 Mariners Court - Papaleo

2 The footprint is very small while it 3 does incorporate 8,000 cubic yards. 4 The per acre security would be very low 5 compared to what is often required for 6 clearing and grading. More importantly, 7 I think it was discussed at work session, 8 the possibility of including an inspection 9 fee such that the Building Department can 10 use outside resources to keep track of 11 the operation. 12 We do note that the rolled erosion 13 control matting has been proposed on 14 the steeper slopes. 15 I re-mention the security for the 16 site stabilization. 17 Dominic Cordisco, CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 18 Planning Board Attorney. 19 MR. CORDISCO: This is similar to 20 the prior application, but obviously much 21 smaller. The conditions are relatively 22 similar as far as that's concerned. As Pat had eluded to, the Board 23 24 does have the authority to require a 25 suitable site stabilization security as

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	well as an inspection fee for the
3	project. You have some flexibility there
4	as far as that's concerned.
5	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So that would
6	be the motion for approval for the
7	clearing and grading amendment
8	subdivision/clearing and grading for
9	Mariners Court - Papaleo?
10	MR. CORDISCO: Yes, which would
11	also include the ability for the Building
12	Department to impose an escrow for the
13	site inspection fee as well as a suitable
14	security that would be recommended at
15	that time.
16	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard
17	the conditions of approval for Mariners
18	Court - Papaleo, project number 24-35,
19	for amended subdivision and clearing and
20	grading presented by Planning Board
21	Attorney Dominic Cordisco, would someone
22	move for that motion.
23	MR. WARD: So moved.
24	MR. DOMINICK: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion

3 Mariners Court - Papaleo by John Ward. I have a second by Dave Dominick. Can I have a roll call vote starting with Dave Dominick. MR. DOMINICK: Aye. MS. DeLUCA: Aye. MR. MENNERICH: Aye. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. MR. WARD: Aye. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you. (Time noted: 8:10 p.m.) 

1	3 Mariners Court - Papaleo
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD 3 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 MATRIX I-84 DISTRIBUTION CENTER 6 (2022 - 29)7 Route 17K Section 85; Block 1; Lot 97 Section 89; Block 1; Lots 66 & 69.1 8 IB Zone 9 10 - - - - - X 11 BOARD BUSINESS 12 13 Date: January 16, 2025 Time: 8:12 p.m. 14 Place: Town of Newburgh Town Hall 15 1496 Route 300 Newburgh, NY 12550 16 17 BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman 18 KENNETH MENNERICH STEPHANIE DeLUCA 19 DAVID DOMINICK JOHN A. WARD 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: DOMINIC CORDISCO, ESQ. PATRICK HINES 22 JAMES CAMPBELL - - - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter 845-541-4163 25 michelleconero@hotmail.com

1 Matrix I-84 Distribution Center 2 The last item CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 3 for discussion as part of the record is the Matrix application on Route 17K. 4 5 Pat Hines will speak to the site 6 changes associated with that. 7 MR. HINES: We were contacted by 8 representatives of Matrix and received a letter from their engineers, Langan 9 10 Engineers, identifying that based on site 11 conditions, and I believe the cost of the 12 extensive retaining walls, that those 13 retaining walls had been redesigned for 14 the project site. Additional geo-technical 15 work was done that identified a larger 16 volume of unsuitable material that would 17 have to be removed in order to construct 18 the revised retaining walls. 19 The site received a clearing and

19 The site received a clearing and 20 grading permit to remove 160,000 cubic 21 yards of material from the site, including 22 a significant volume of topsoil. The 23 majority of that material has been 24 removed. All of it was not. They 25 stopped work when they ran into the

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	need for the retaining walls to be
3	constructed in support of the clearing
4	and grading.
5	They've identified an additional
6	120,000 cubic yards of material that
7	needs to be removed in order to
8	construct the revised retaining walls.
9	That material would not be suitable to
10	put back based on the retaining wall
11	designs.
12	They're looking for approval to
13	continue under their clearing and
14	grading permit, which was extensively
15	done last summer, in order to remove
16	that additional 120,000 cubic yards of
17	material.
18	The securities are in place. The
19	footprint for the clearing and grading
20	doesn't change. It's the volume of
21	material to be removed.
22	They did have a traffic control
23	plan and approval from DOT which
24	continues.
25	There were conditions that the

Matrix I-84 Distribution Center

2 material not be placed within the Town 3 of Newburgh without further approvals 4 of that location under the clearing and 5 They identified sites grading permit. outside the Town of Newburgh where they 6 7 had approval, including one in the Town 8 of Montgomery and I believe one was in 9 Tuxedo, I know it was south of here, that they were utilizing to deposit 10 11 that material. I believe those sites 12 are going to continue to be utilized. CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ouestions from 13 14 Board Members. Dave Dominick. 15 MR. DOMINICK: Nothing further. 16 Pat explained it. 17 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Stephanie DeLuca. 18 MS. DeLUCA: No. Nothing. 19 MR. MENNERICH: No questions. 20 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: No comment. 21 John Ward. 22 MR. WARD: The only question I had 23 was with Sunbelt being open, if they 24 could address when the trucks go out to 25 84.

Matrix I-84 Distribution Center

2 MR. HINES: Their traffic control 3 will have to address Sunbelt Rentals. 4 Previously they had the shoulders closed 5 and their construction vehicles were 6 utilizing the shoulder to come up to 7 speed. They may have to adjust that. 8 They have an approved plan from DOT. 9 There may need to be modifications. 10 Again, that's under the purview of DOT. 11 The site was monitored while they 12 were doing it. They did a really good 13 job at keeping 17K clear. They had 14 street sweepers, an extensive stabilized 15 construction entrance that has been developed. The front of the site 16 17 actually is at grade and has been 18 vegetated. I think if they continue that 19 operation, it should not present any new 20 issues. 21 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dominic Cordisco, 22 can you offer us a statement to enter 23 into the record for Matrix? 24 MR. CORDISCO: Yes. What's being 25 proposed is -- obviously I'm searching

1 Matrix I-84 Distribution Center 2 for the --3 MR. HINES: Update. 4 MR. CORDISCO: An update to the 5 It's basically a status update as Board. far as where the project is. It would 6 7 require an informal determination by the 8 Board that an amendment to the existing 9 clearing and grading permit would not be 10 necessary and that they can continue 11 under the existing conditions that they 12 have that are already in place with their 13 clearing and grading permit. 14 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Would someone 15 move for a motion for the Board to accept 16 the statement that was presented by 17 Dominic Cordisco, Planning Board 18 Attorney, for the additional soil removal 19 of 120,000 cubic yards from the Matrix 20 site. 21 MS. DeLUCA: Yes. 22 MR. DOMINICK: Yes. 23 MR. MENNERICH: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Yes. 25 MR. WARD: Yes.

1 Matrix I-84 Distribution Center 2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Let the record 3 show that the Board is in favor of that 4 additional removal. 5 MR. CORDISCO: Thank you. 6 That being CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: 7 said, would someone move for a motion to 8 close the meeting of the 16th of January 9 2025. MR. MENNERICH: So moved. 10 11 MS. DeLUCA: Second. 12 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion 13 by Ken Mennerich and a second by 14 Stephanie DeLuca. Can I have a roll call 15 vote starting with Dave Dominick. 16 MR. DOMINICK: Aye. 17 MS. DeLUCA: Aye. 18 MR. MENNERICH: Aye. 19 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye. 20 MR. WARD: Aye. 21 22 (Time noted: 8:16 p.m.) 23 24 25

1	Matrix I-84 Distribution Center
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for
7	and within the State of New York, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not related
12	to any of the parties to this proceeding by
13	blood or by marriage and that I am in no way
14	interested in the outcome of this matter.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 21st day of January 2025.
17	
18	
19	
20	Michelle Conero
21	MICHELLE CONERO
22	
23	
24	
25	